

# From monitoring to evaluation, feedback toward data producers and improvement

Marc Leobet – For the French Point of Contact

MIG/LBT - 18.06.14

1


# Monitoring : an automatic process

- All indicators are computed from the French national catalog of metadata
  - Except “Monitoring of the use of network services”, which is manual,
  - And “relevant area”, which is not delivered
    - We do not know how to compute it.
- We can filtered by resource providers (specificity of the French SDI?),
- And by producer.

# Why a French thesaurus to find the righter theme?


- Report 2012: 10% of datasets are not in INSPIRE's field & 30% of spatial datasets theme are wrong or doubtful
- The French recommendations ask now to note as INSPIRE's theme « the prevailing one ».
- Autumn 2012 : a first thesaurus (SKOS & html format) to help data producers:
  - Find the mission of public service (eg. the law) for which you have created the datasets
  - You will get the prevailing theme
- Autumn 2013 : extension to water and WFD

# A circular process



# Maps and improvements 2013

- May 2013 : analysis of the French monitoring
- September 2013 :
  - a new extraction the 4th of September
  - dissemination of maps about MSi1 indicators
  - To State's services and sub-national governments
- Autumn 2013 : the rhythm of publication doubles
  - Upgrade of tools
  - Surge from the producers



# Improvements and Maps 2014

- Report 2014 sent to EC the 15th of May
- End of May : first dissemination of indicator NSi2 (data with view AND download services) to thematic and regional SDI
- June : analysis and mobilization to understand low rates;
- To be continued :
  - Summer : to solve some issues (lack of metadata for service? How to detect downloadable datasets out of WFS?);
  - September : dissemination of maps showing NSi2 for State's services and sub-national governments;
  - Autumn : to solve unexpected issues

# Conclusions

- To monitor INSPIRE's implementation for the EC is an opportunity to address our own success and weak points;
- We have reached a status where we can share (good and less good) results with public authorities to help them to be better
- This “outside glance” helps to upgrade the tools and methods to get better results in data sharing
- That is why we are ready to share an European dashboard (see MIWP-16)